

Paper 17

Filed by Sally Gardner Lane  
Administrative Patent Judge  
Mail Stop Interference  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria Va 22313-1450  
Tel: 571-272-9797  
Fax: 571-273-0042

Filed: 18 August 2005

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS  
AND INTERFERENCES

**FAXED**

PASCALE CORPART,  
DOMINIQUE CHARMOT, SAMIR Z. ZARD,  
THIBAUD BIADATTI, and DANIEL MICHELET,

**AUG 18 2005**

Junior Party  
(Patent 6,153,705)

**PAT. & T.M. OFFICE  
BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS  
AND INTERFERENCES**

v.

TAM PHUONG LE,  
GRAEME MOAD, EZIO RIZZARDO, and  
SAN HOA THANG

Senior Party,  
(Application 09/762,833).

Patent Interference No. 105,314

Before Sally Gardner Lane, Administrative Patent Judge.

**Redeclaration - Bd.R. 203(c)**

Conference calls were held on 27 July 2005 at approximately 2:00 p.m. and 28 July at approximately 10:00 a.m. Participating in the call were:

Sally Lane, Administrative Patent Judge,

Gerald Deitch for Le, and

Todd Walters for Corpart

The parties have argued that there are at least two separately patentable inventions included in the genus defined by Count 1, i.e., that subject matter defined by Corpart claim 1 where Z2 is oxygen and Le claim 11 where Z is optionally substituted alkoxy and that subject matter defined by Corpart claim 1 where Z2 is sulfur and Le claim 11 where Z is optionally substituted alkylthio, dialkyl- or diaryl-phosphonato, or dialkyl- or diaryl-phosphinato. Based on discussion during the conference calls, arguments by the parties, and a review of the record, it is appropriate to redeclare the interference by adding Count 2 and Count 3, each of which is directed to patentably distinct subject matter that also is patentably distinct from the genus defined by Count 1. Counts 2 and 3 set forth below are substantially the same as those suggested by the parties as are the claim designations.<sup>1</sup>

Upon consideration of the record and for reasons given, it is

**ORDERED** that the following two counts are added to the interference;

Count 2

Corpart claim 1, wherein Z2 is O

or

Le claim 11 wherein Z is optionally substituted alkoxy.

---

<sup>1</sup> Despite the parties' suggestion, claim 9 of Corpart is not designated as corresponding to Count 3 since it depends from claim 8 which limits Z2 to oxygen.

Count 3

Corpart claim 1, where Z2 is S  
or

Le claim 11 wherein Z is optionally substituted alkylthio, dialkyl- or  
diaryl-phosphonato, or dialkyl- or diaryl-phosphinato.

**FURTHER ORDERED** that the following claims are designated as  
corresponding to Count 2:

Corpart: 1-12, 18-27, and 29

Le: 11-39

**FURTHER ORDERED** that the following claims are designated as  
corresponding to Count 3:

Corpart: 1-7, 11, 18, 19, 21-23, 27, and 29

Le: 11-17, 21, 23-25, 27-29, 31-33, and 39

**FURTHER ORDERED** that each party is accorded the same priority benefit as  
to the added counts as it was accorded as to Count 1 (Paper 1 at 4 and 5); and

**FURTHER ORDERED** that all other time periods set in the interference remain  
in effect.

cc (facsimile):

Counsel for CORPART:

Norman H. Stepno, Esq.  
BUCHANAN INGERSOLL PC  
1737 King Street, Suite 500  
Alexandria, VA 22314

Fax: 703-836-2021

Counsel for LE:

John T. Gallagher, Esq.  
3 World Financial Center  
New York, N.Y. 10281-2101

Fax: 212-415-8701